arXiv:1208.2425v2 [math.PR] 19 Aug 2012

Shift Harnack Inequality and Integration by Part Formula for Semilinear SPDE*

Shao-Qin Zhang

School of Math. Sci. and Lab. Math. Com. Sys., Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

Email: zhangsq@mail.bnu.edu.cn

August 21, 2012

Abstract

Shift Harnack and integration by part formula are establish for semilinear spde with delay and a class of stochastic semilinear evolution equation which cover the hyperdissipative Naiver-Stokes/Burges equation.

AMS Subject Classification: 60H15

Keywords: Shift Harnack inequality, integration by part formula, stochastic functional equations, hyperdissipative Navier-Stokes/Burges equation.

1 Introduction

Recently, using a new coupling argument, the author in [11] provide a new type Harnack inequality, called shift Harnack inequality, and derive Driver's integration by part formula, see [4]. The main idea is that construct two processes which start from the same point and at the expected time T they separate at a fixed vector almost surely, then use the Girsanov theorem. In [11] there, for the case of semilinear SPDE, two problems remains, the first is that how to establish shift Harnack inequality and integration by part formula for semilinear SPDE with delay, the second is that whether the two processes can separate at arbitrarily vector. In this paper, we try to find the answer to the two problem. We construct coupling, in the spirit of [11], but it seems hard to write down the explicit drift term, then we focus on the existence, with a little knowledge of control theory and regularity theory of semigroups, we can derive the shift Harnack and integration by part formula.

In the second part of the paper, we deal with semilinear SPDE with delay and generalized to non-Lipschitz case. In the third part, we establish shift Harnack inequality and integration by part formula for a class of stochastic evolution equation, which covers the hyperdissipative Navier-Stokes/ Burgers equation.

---

*Supported by NSFC(11131003), SRFDP, 985-Project.

Before our main results, we need some preparation. For any $T > 0$, assume that U, H are Hilbert spaces, $B \in \mathscr{L}(U, H)$, and $-A$ generates an analytic semigroup, define two operators as follow, which are well know in control theory,

$$L_T : L^2([0,T], U) \to H,\ L_T f = \int_0^T e^{-(T-t)A} B f(t) \mathrm{d}t, \tag{1.1}$$

$$R_T : H \to H,\ R_T h = \int_0^T e^{-tA} B B^* e^{-tA^*} h \mathrm{d}t, \tag{1.2}$$

and let

$$D_A(\frac{1}{2}, 2) = \{x \in H \mid ||x||^2_{\frac{1}{2}} := \int_0^\infty ||Ae^{-tA}x||^2 \mathrm{d}t < \infty\}. \tag{1.3}$$

The following proposition are well know in semigroup theory and control theory, see Theorem 3.1 in page 143 in [1] and Appendix B in [3] for details,

Proposition 1.1. *(1) Assume that $-A$ generate an analytic semigroup, then for each $T > 0$, the map*

$$u \to (u' + Au, u(0)) : W^{1,2}([0,T], H) \bigcap L^2([0,T], \mathscr{D}(A)) \to L^2([0,T], H) \times D_A(\frac{1}{2}, 2), \tag{1.4}$$

is an isomorphism, and

$$W^{1,2}([0,T], H) \bigcap L^2([0,T], \mathscr{D}(A)) \subset C([0,T], D_A(\frac{1}{2}, 2)). \tag{1.5}$$

(2) For the two operator L and R, if $B^{-1} \in \mathscr{L}(H, U)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{Im}(L_T) &= \boldsymbol{Im}(R_T^{\frac{1}{2}}) = D_A(\frac{1}{2}, 2), && (1.6)\\ ||R_T^{-\frac{1}{2}} x|| &= \min\{\int_0^T ||f(s)||^2_U \mathrm{d}s \mid L_T f = x\}. && (1.7) \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma ensure the existence of drift term we want, we give proof here just for readers' convenient.

Lemma 1.2. *For any $T > 0$, and $x \in D_A(\frac{1}{2}, 2)$, there exists $f \in L^2([0,T], U)$ so that*

$$L_T f = x,\ ||f||^2_{L^2([0,T],U)} = \min\{\int_0^T ||f(s)||^2_U \mathrm{d}s \mid L_T f = x\} \tag{1.8}$$

Proof. Since $\{f \in L^2([0,T], U) \mid L_T f = x\}$ is closed and convex set, it's also closed in weak topology. Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence so that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} ||f_n|| = \inf\{\int_0^T ||f(s)||^2_U \mathrm{d}s \mid L_T f = x\}, \tag{1.9}$$

then there exists a subsequence, we denote $\{f_n\}$ again, and $f \in L^2([0,T], U)$, so that

$$w - \lim_{n\to\infty} f_n = f \in \{f | L_T f = x\}, \tag{1.10}$$

then $\liminf_{n\to\infty} ||f_n|| \geq ||f||$, by (1.9), we have prove this lemma. □

The following lemma helps us to get the precise time behavior.

Lemma 1.3. *There exists $C_1 > 0$ which independent of T, so that*

$$||R_T^{-\frac{1}{2}}x||^2 \leq \frac{C_1}{T}||x||^2_{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{1.11}$$

Proof. It's clear that $R_T^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is injective and surjective from H to $D_A(\frac{1}{2}, 2)$, and is continuous operator on H, then $R_T^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is continuous from $D_A(\frac{1}{2}, 2)$ to H. Obviously $|| \cdot ||_{\frac{1}{2}}$ is independent of T, if we denote $C_T = ||R_T^{-\frac{1}{2}}||^2_{\mathscr{L}(D_A(\frac{1}{2},2),H)}$, and note that

$$R_T = \int_0^T e^{-tA}BB^*e^{-tA^*}\mathrm{d}t = T\int_0^1 e^{-t(TA)}BB^*e^{-t(TA)^*}\mathrm{d}t. \tag{1.12}$$

then $||R_T^{-\frac{1}{2}}x||^2 \leq \frac{C_1}{T}||x||^2_{\frac{1}{2}}$.

□

Remark 1.4. *After the first version was submit, it's kind of Dr. Ouyang to email to mention his works and we find that some control theory had been used in [6, 7, 8] to get the Harnack inequality.*

2 Semilinear SPDE with Delay

H is Hilbert space with norm $|| \cdot ||$, $\mathscr{C} = C([-\tau, 0], H)$, consider the following equation

$$\mathrm{d}x(t) = -Ax(t)\mathrm{d}t + F(x_t)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma(t)\mathrm{d}W(t), \tag{2.1}$$

satisfies the following conditions

(H1) $-A$ generates analytic semigroup with negative type and there exists $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ so that $\int_0^T t^{-2\alpha}||e^{-tA}||^2_{HS}\mathrm{d}t < \infty$,

(H2) $F : \mathscr{C} \to H$ is Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant L,

(H3) $\sigma : [0, T] \to \mathscr{L}(H)$ measurable and bounded, and there is $M > 0$, such that $||\sigma(\cdot)^{-1}||_\infty \leq M$.

Denote the solution of the equation with initial value ξ by $x(t, \xi)$, related segment process $x_t(\xi)$, and $P_T f(\xi) = \mathbb{E}f(x_T(\xi))$. Now, we state our result

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that (H1) to (H3) hold. Let $\eta \in W^{1,2}([-\tau, 0], H) \bigcap L^2([-\tau, 0], \mathscr{D}(A))$, $\phi \in L^2([0, T - \tau], H)$ so that*

$$L_{T-\tau}\phi = \eta(-\tau),\ ||\phi||_{L^2([0,T-\tau],H)} = ||R_{T-\tau}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\eta(-\tau)||, \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\psi(t) = \eta'(t - \tau) + A\eta(t - \tau),\ t \in [T - \tau, T], \tag{2.3}$$

denote

$$\Gamma(t) = \begin{cases} \eta(t - T), & t \geq T - \tau, \\ \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A}\phi(s)\mathrm{d}s, & t < T - \tau, \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

then there exists $C_1 > 0$ *so that for any* $\xi \in \mathscr{C}$, $f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathscr{C})$,

$$\begin{aligned}(P_T f(\xi))^p \leq & P_T f^p(\cdot + \eta)(\xi) \exp\left\{ \frac{pM^2 C_1}{p-1} \left[\frac{2 + L^2(T-\tau)^2}{2(T-\tau)} ||\eta(-\tau)||^2_{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{C_1} \int_{T-\tau}^T ||\psi(t)||^2 \mathrm{d}t \right.\right. \\ & \left.\left. + \tau \left(||\eta(-\tau)||^2_{\frac{1}{2}} \vee \frac{||\eta||^2_\infty}{C_1} \right) \right] \right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Moreover, if we assume that $F : \mathscr{C} \to H$ *is Fréchet derivable and* $||\nabla F(\cdot)||_\infty \leq L < \infty$ *in addition, then for* $f \in C_b^1(\mathscr{C})$,

$$\begin{aligned}& (P_T \nabla_\eta f)(\xi) \\ = & - \mathbb{E} \left\{ f(x_T(\xi)) \int_0^T \langle \sigma(t)^{-1} \left[\phi(t) 1_{[0,T-\tau)}(t) + \psi(t) 1_{[T-\tau,T]}(t) - \nabla_{\Gamma_t} F(x_t(\xi)) \right], \mathrm{d}W(t) \rangle \right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By Proposition 1.1 and Lemma 1.2 for the case that $B = I$ and $U = H$, ϕ and ψ are well defined. We construct another process as follow

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}y(t) = -Ay(t)\mathrm{d}t + F(x_t(\xi))\mathrm{d}t + \sigma(t)\mathrm{d}W(t) + \epsilon(\phi(t)1_{[0,T-\tau)}(t)\mathrm{d}t + \psi(t)1_{[T-\tau,T]}(t))\mathrm{d}t, \\ y_0 = \xi, \end{cases}$$

then

$$y(t) = x(t) + \epsilon \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} \phi(s) 1_{[0,T-\tau)}(s)\mathrm{d}s + \epsilon \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} \psi(s) 1_{[T-\tau,T]}(s)\mathrm{d}s. \tag{2.7}$$

For $t \geq T - \tau$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} \phi(s) 1_{[0,T-\tau)}(s)\mathrm{d}s = & e^{-(t-T+\tau)A} \int_0^{T-\tau} e^{-(T-\tau-s)A} \phi(s)\mathrm{d}s \\ = & e^{-(t-T+\tau)A} \eta(-\tau).\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Since $\eta \in W^{1,2}([-\tau, 0], H) \bigcap L^2([-\tau, 0], \mathscr{D}(A))$, and

$$\psi(t) = \eta'(t-T) + A\eta(t-T), \ t \geq T - \tau, \tag{2.9}$$

that means $\eta(\cdot - T)$ is the solution of the following equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\eta(t-T)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -A\eta(t-T) + \psi(t), t \geq T - \tau, \tag{2.10}$$

with initial value $\eta(-\tau)$ at $T - \tau$, or in the integration form

$$\eta(t-T) = e^{-(t-T+\tau)} \eta(-\tau) + \int_{T-\tau}^t e^{-(t-s)A} \psi(s)\mathrm{d}s, \ t \geq T - \tau, \tag{2.11}$$

thus, for $t \geq T - \tau$,

$$\begin{aligned}y(t) = & x(t) + \epsilon e^{-(t-T+\tau)A} \eta(-\tau) + \epsilon(\eta(t-T) - e^{-(t-T+\tau)} \eta(-\tau)) \\ = & x(t) + \epsilon \eta(t-T),\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

that means

$$y_T = x_T + \epsilon\eta, \tag{2.13}$$

therefore, for all $t \in [0,T]$,

$$y(t) - x(t) = \epsilon\Gamma(t),\ \ y_t - x_t = \epsilon\Gamma_t. \tag{2.14}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}\tilde{W}(t) &= \mathrm{d}W(t) + \epsilon\sigma(t)^{-1}\left(\phi(t)1_{[0,T-\tau)}(t) + \psi(t)1_{[T-\tau,T]}(t)\right)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma(t)^{-1}(F(x_t) - F(y_t))\mathrm{d}t \\ &=: \mathrm{d}W(t) + h(t)\mathrm{d}t, \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

and

$$R_T^\epsilon = \exp\left\{-\int_0^T \langle h(t), \mathrm{d}W(t)\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T ||h(t)||^2\mathrm{d}t\right\} \tag{2.16}$$

then we can rewrite the equation of y as

$$\mathrm{d}y(t) = -Ay(t)\mathrm{d}t + F(y_t)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma(t)\mathrm{d}\tilde{W}(t),\ \ y_0 = \xi. \tag{2.17}$$

By (H1) to (H3), as in [11], and note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T ||\Gamma_t||_\infty^2\mathrm{d}t &\le \int_0^{T-\tau}\left(\int_0^t ||\phi(s)||\mathrm{d}s\right)^2\mathrm{d}t + \int_{T-\tau}^T ||\Gamma_t||^2\mathrm{d}t \\ &\le \int_0^{T-\tau} t\int_0^{T-\tau} ||\phi(s)||^2\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}t + \tau\left\{\left[(T-\tau)\int_0^{T-\tau}||\phi(s)||^2\mathrm{d}s\right] \vee ||\eta||_\infty^2\right\} \\ &\le \frac{(T-\tau)^2}{2}||R_{T-\tau}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\eta(-\tau)||^2 + \tau\left\{\left[(T-\tau)||R_{T-\tau}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\eta(-\tau)||^2\right] \vee ||\eta||_\infty^2\right\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

we can prove that $\{\tilde{W}(t)\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ is Brownian Motion and get the shift Harnack inequality

$$\begin{aligned} (P_Tf(\xi))^p \le& P_Tf^p(\cdot+\eta)(\xi)(\mathbb{E}(R_T^1)^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{p-1} \\ \le& P_Tf^p(\cdot+\eta)(\xi)\exp\left[\frac{p}{2(p-1)}\int_0^T ||h(t)||^2\mathrm{d}t\right] \\ \le& P_Tf^p(\cdot+\eta)(\xi)\exp\left\{\frac{pM^2}{p-1}\left[\frac{2+L^2(T-\tau)^2}{2}||R_{T-\tau}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\eta(-\tau)||^2 + \int_{T-\tau}^T ||\psi(t)||^2\mathrm{d}t\right.\right. \\ &\left.\left. +\tau\left\{\left[(T-\tau)||R_{T-\tau}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\eta(-\tau)||^2\right] \vee ||\eta||_\infty^2\right\}\right]\right\} \\ \le& P_Tf^p(\cdot+\eta)(\xi)\exp\left\{\frac{pM^2C_1}{p-1}\left[\frac{2+L^2(T-\tau)^2}{2(T-\tau)}||\eta(-\tau)||_{\frac{1}{2}}^2 + \frac{1}{C_1}\int_{T-\tau}^T ||\psi(t)||^2\mathrm{d}t\right.\right. \\ &\left.\left. +\tau\left(||\eta(-\tau)||_{\frac{1}{2}}^2 \vee \frac{||\eta||_\infty^2}{C_1}\right)\right]\right\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

in the last inequality, we have used that (2.2). Further more since F has bounded Fréchet derivatie,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\epsilon}|_{\epsilon=0}\int_0^T \langle\sigma(t)^{-1}(F(x_t) - F(x_t+\epsilon\Gamma_t)), \mathrm{d}W(t)\rangle \\ =& \int_0^T \langle\sigma(t)^{-1}\nabla_{\Gamma_t}F(x_t), \mathrm{d}W(t)\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

hold in $L^1(\mathbb{P})$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\epsilon}|_{\epsilon=0}R^{\epsilon}_T =& -\int_0^T \langle \sigma(t)^{-1}\left[\phi(t)1_{[0,T-\tau)}(t)+\psi(t)1_{[T-\tau,T]}(t)\right], \mathrm{d}W(t)\rangle \\ &+\int_0^T \langle \sigma(t)^{-1}\nabla_{\Gamma_t}F(x_t), \mathrm{d}W(t)\rangle,\end{aligned}\tag{2.21}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}&(P_T\nabla_\eta f)(\xi)\\ &=-\mathbb{E}\left\{f(x_T(\xi))\int_0^T \langle \sigma(t)^{-1}\left[\phi(t)1_{[0,T-\tau)}(t)+\psi(t)1_{[T-\tau,T]}(t)-\nabla_{\Gamma_t}F(x_t(\xi))\right], \mathrm{d}W(t)\rangle\right\}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.22}$$

$\square$

When A is self adjoint, we have

Corollary 2.2. *When A is self adjoint operator and $A \geq \lambda_0 > 0$, under the assumption in Theorem 2.1, we have the shift Harnack inequality holds with C_1 replaced by $\frac{2(T-\tau)}{1-e^{-2(T-\tau)\lambda_0}}$ in (2.5) and $||x||_{\frac{1}{2}} = ||A^{\frac{1}{2}}x||$.*

Proof. In this situation,

$$R=\int_0^{T-\tau} e^{-2tA}\mathrm{d}t=\frac{A^{-1}}{2}(I-e^{-2(T-\tau)A}),\tag{2.23}$$

and $D_A(\frac{1}{2},2)=\mathscr{D}(A^{\frac{1}{2}})$, then

$$||R_{T-\tau}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\eta(-\tau)||=\sqrt{2}||(I-e^{-2(T-\tau)A})^{-\frac{1}{2}}A^{\frac{1}{2}}\eta(-\tau)||\leq\sqrt{\frac{2}{1-e^{-2(T-\tau)\lambda_0}}}\left|\left|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\eta(-\tau)\right|\right|.\tag{2.24}$$

$\square$

Corollary 2.3. *Assume that (H1), (H3) hold and there is an increasing continuous function $\gamma : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ such that*

$$||F(x)-F(y)||\leq\gamma(||x-y||_\infty),\ \forall x,y\in\mathscr{C},\tag{2.25}$$

and equation (2.1) has pathwise unique mild solution, then for any $p > 1$, $f \in \mathscr{B}_b^+(H)$,

$$\begin{aligned}(P_Tf)^p\leq& P_Tf^p(e+\cdot)\\ &\exp\left[\frac{M^2p}{p-1}\left(\frac{C_1}{T}||\eta(-\tau)||^2_{\frac{1}{2}}+T\gamma^2\left(||\eta||_\infty\vee(\sqrt{C_1}||\eta(-\tau)||_{\frac{1}{2}})\right)+\int_{T-\tau}^T||\psi(t)||^2\mathrm{d}t\right)\right].\end{aligned}\tag{2.26}$$

Proof. We use the notation in Theorem 2.1. In this case, the shift Harnack inequality follows from the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2M^2}\int_0^T||h(t)||^2\mathrm{d}t\leq&\left(\int_0^{T-\tau}||\phi(t)||^2\mathrm{d}t+\int_{T-\tau}^T||\psi(t)||^2\mathrm{d}t+\int_0^T\gamma^2(||\Gamma_t||)\mathrm{d}t\right)\\ \leq&\frac{C_1}{T}||\eta(-\tau)||^2_{\frac{1}{2}}+\int_0^T\gamma^2\left(\int_0^t||\phi(s)||\mathrm{d}s\vee||\eta||_\infty\right)\mathrm{d}t+\int_{T-\tau}^T||\psi(t)||^2\mathrm{d}t\\ \leq&\frac{C_1}{T}||\eta(-\tau)||^2_{\frac{1}{2}}+T\gamma^2\left(||\eta||_\infty\vee(\sqrt{C_1}||\eta(-\tau)||_{\frac{1}{2}})\right)+\int_{T-\tau}^T||\psi(t)||^2\mathrm{d}t.\end{aligned}\tag{2.27}$$

$\square$

Remark 2.4. *For the existence and uniqueness of stochastic evolution equations and stochastic functional partial differential equations with non-Lipschitz coefficients and nontrivial example, one can see [2, 14] and references there in.*

3 Stochastic evolution equation with non-Lipschitz coefficients

Here we consider the following equation

$$\mathrm{d}x(t) = -Ax(t)\mathrm{d}t + B(x(t))\mathrm{d}t + Q\mathrm{d}W(t), \tag{3.1}$$

We shall use the notation following.

$$(V_\theta, ||\cdot||_{V_\theta}) = (\mathscr{D}(A^{\frac{\theta}{2}}), ||A^{\frac{\theta}{2}}\cdot||),\ ||\cdot||_Q = ||Q^{-1}\cdot||, \tag{3.2}$$

the coefficients of the equation may satisfy that

(A1) A is positive self adjoint operator with $A \geq \lambda_0 > 0$, $Q \in \mathscr{L}_{HS}(H)$ is non-degenerated,

(A2) B is hemicontinuous, i.e. the map $s \to \langle B(v_1 + sv_2), v\rangle$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}$, and there exists $\gamma \in [0, 2)$, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle B(u) - B(v), u - v\rangle &\leq (\rho(v) + K_1)||u - v||_V^\gamma ||u - v||^{2-\gamma} && (3.3)\\ \langle B(u - v), v\rangle &\leq K_2||v||_{V_\alpha}||u - v||_V^\gamma ||u - v||^{2-\gamma} && (3.4)\end{aligned}$$

where $\rho : V \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is measurable, locally bounded function, $\rho(0) = 0$,

(A3) There exists $\theta \in (0, 1]$ and $K_3 > 0$ such that

$$||u||_Q^2 \leq K_3||u||_{V_\theta}^2, \tag{3.5}$$

(A4) There exists a constant $K_4 > 0$ such that

$$||B(u) - B(v)||_Q^2 \leq \beta(u - v)(1 + ||v||_V + ||u||_V)^2, \tag{3.6}$$

where $\beta : V \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is locally bounded measurable function.

(A5) B is Fréchet differentiable from V to $Q(H)$, such that

$$||\nabla B(v)||_Q \leq K_4(1 + ||v||_V), \tag{3.7}$$

here we endow $Q(H)$ with the norm $||\cdot||_Q$ such that it becomes a Banach space,

(A6) There is $K_5 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta \in (0, 2)$, such that

$$\langle B(w), w\rangle \leq K_5(1 + ||w||^\delta),\ \forall w \in V. \tag{3.8}$$

Remark 3.1. *(1) By (3.4), we have*

$$\langle B(w), v\rangle = \langle B(w+v-v), v\rangle \le K_2||v||_{V_\alpha}||w||_V^\gamma||w||^{2-\gamma},\ \forall v, w \in V, \tag{3.9}$$

thus

$$||B(w)||_{V^*} \le C||w||_V^\gamma||w||^{2-\gamma},\ \forall w \in V, \tag{3.10}$$

and from (3.3),

$$\langle B(w), w\rangle \le ||B(0)|| \cdot ||w|| + K_1||w||_V^\gamma||w||^{2-\gamma},\ \forall w \in V. \tag{3.11}$$

Therefore, by [9] and directly calculus we can prove that under the conditions (A1) and (A2), equation (3.1) has uniqueness strong solution.

(2) It's easy to see that (A5) implies that (A4) holds in the following form

$$||B(u) - B(v)||_Q \le 2K_4||u-v||_V(1 + ||u||_V + ||v||_V). \tag{3.12}$$

(3) Though it's easy to see that Navier-Stokes operator satisfies (A2), but unfortunately, it does not satisfies (A3) to (A5).

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that (A1) to (A4) hold and $e \in \mathscr{D}(A^{\frac{1+\theta}{2}})$, then there is ϕ, satisfying that*

$$\phi \in L^2([0,T], V_\theta),\ \int_0^T e^{-(T-s)A}\phi(s)\mathrm{d}s = e, \tag{3.13}$$

$$\int_0^T ||\phi(s)||_{V_\theta}^2\mathrm{d}s = \min\{\int_0^T ||f(s)||_{V_\theta}^2\mathrm{d}s \mid \int_0^T e^{-(T-s)A}A^{\frac{\theta}{2}}f(s)\mathrm{d}s = e\ \}, \tag{3.14}$$

such that the shift log-Harnack inequality hold

$$P_T\log f(x) \le \log P_Tf(x) + \Psi_2(T,e) \tag{3.15}$$

here

$$\Psi_2(T,e) = \frac{C_1}{T}||A^{\frac{\theta+1}{2}}e||^2 + 3\left(\sup_{||v||\le b}\beta(v)\right)\left(\frac{(1+\theta)^2}{2}T^\theta||A^{\frac{1+\theta}{2}}e||^2 + 4\Psi_1(T,e) + 1\right), \tag{3.16}$$

where

$$b := \sqrt{\frac{C_1T^{\theta-1}}{\theta}}||A^{\frac{1+\theta}{2}}e||, \tag{3.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Psi_1(T,e)\\ &= C(\gamma, K_1, K_2, B(0), ||x||, \theta)\left(T + (\frac{1}{\lambda_0T} + T^{1+\theta})||A^{\frac{1+\theta}{2}}e||^2 + T^{\frac{\theta(3-\gamma)-\alpha}{(2-\gamma)}}||A^{\frac{1+\theta}{2}}e||^{\frac{2(3-\gamma)}{2-\gamma}}\right)\\ &\quad \exp\left[C(\gamma, K_1, K_2, \theta)\left(T + T^{2-\frac{2(\alpha-\theta)}{2-\gamma}}||A^{\frac{1+\theta}{2}}e||^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}}\right)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

If we assume that (A1) to (A3) and (A5) hold, then the integration by part formula holds

$$P_T\nabla_ef(x) = \mathbb{E}f(x(T))\int_0^T\langle Q^{-1}(\phi(t) + \nabla_{\Gamma(t)}B(x(t))), \mathrm{d}W(t)\rangle,\ \forall f \in C_b^1(H), \tag{3.19}$$

where

$$\Gamma(t) = \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A}\phi(s)\mathrm{d}s. \tag{3.20}$$

Proof. The existence of ϕ. Consider the operator L_θ from $L^2([0,T],\mathscr{D}(A^{\frac{\theta}{2}}))$ to H:

$$L_\theta h = \int_0^T e^{-(T-s)A}A^{\frac{\theta}{2}}h(s)\mathrm{d}s, \tag{3.21}$$

since $A^{-\frac{\theta}{2}} : H \to \mathscr{D}(A^{\frac{\theta}{2}})$ is isometric,

$$L_\theta : L^2([0,T],\mathscr{D}(A^{\frac{\theta}{2}})) \to \mathscr{D}(A^{\frac{1}{2}}) \tag{3.22}$$

is surjective, by proposition 1.1. Since $A^{\frac{\theta}{2}}e \in \mathscr{D}(A^{\frac{1}{2}})$, let ϕ be one of the element such that $L_\theta\phi = A^{\frac{\theta}{2}}e$, then

$$\int_0^T e^{-(T-t)A}\phi(s)\mathrm{d}s = A^{-\frac{\theta}{2}}\int_0^T e^{-(T-t)A}A^{\frac{\theta}{2}}\phi(s)\mathrm{d}s = A^{-\frac{\theta}{2}}A^{\frac{\theta}{2}}e = e, \tag{3.23}$$

$$\int_0^T ||A^{\frac{\theta}{2}}\phi(s)||^2\mathrm{d}s = \int_0^T ||\phi(s)||^2_{V_\theta}\mathrm{d}s \le \frac{C_1}{T}||A^{\frac{\theta}{2}}e||^2_{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{C_1}{T}||A^{\frac{1+\theta}{2}}e||^2, \tag{3.24}$$

and by (A3)

$$\int_0^T ||Q^{-1}\phi(s)||^2\mathrm{d}s \le K_3\int_0^T ||A^{\frac{\theta}{2}}\phi(s)||^2\mathrm{d}s \le \frac{K_3C_1}{T}||A^{\frac{1+\theta}{2}}x||^2. \tag{3.25}$$

We construct another process

$$\mathrm{d}y(t) = -Ay(t)\mathrm{d}t + B(x(t))\mathrm{d}t + Q\mathrm{d}W(t) + \phi(t)\mathrm{d}t, \tag{3.26}$$

then $y(t) = x(t) + \Gamma(t)$, in particular, $y(T) = x(T) + e$. Let

$$\mathrm{d}\tilde{W}(t) = \mathrm{d}W(t) + Q^{-1}\phi(t)\mathrm{d}t + Q^{-1}(B(x(t)) - B(x(t) - \Gamma(t)))\mathrm{d}t, \tag{3.27}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R_t = \exp\Bigg[&-\int_0^t \langle Q^{-1}(\phi(s) + B(x(s)) - B(x(s) - \Gamma(s))), \mathrm{d}W(s)\rangle \\ &-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t ||Q^{-1}(\phi(s) + B(x(s)) - B(x(s) - \Gamma(s)))||^2\mathrm{d}s\Bigg], \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

we can rewrite y as

$$\mathrm{d}y(t) = -Ay(t)\mathrm{d}t + B(y(t))\mathrm{d}t + Q\mathrm{d}\tilde{W}(t). \tag{3.29}$$

Next we shall prove that $\{\tilde{W}(t)\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ is $R_T\mathbb{P}$-Brownian Motion, then y is a weak solution of equation (3.1), and since equation (3.1) has pathwise unique solution, y and x has the same law under the probability measures respectively, therefore

$$\mathbb{E}f(x(T)) = P_Tf(x) = \mathbb{E}R_Tf(y(T)) = \mathbb{E}R_Tf(x(T) + e), \tag{3.30}$$

then the argument in [11] can be applied. To this end, we shall adapt the argument in [10, 11], to estimate $\mathbb{E}R_t\log R_t$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} ||\Gamma(t)||^2_V &= ||A^{\frac{1}{2}}\int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A}\phi(s)\mathrm{d}s||^2 = ||\int_0^t A^{\frac{1-\theta}{2}}e^{-(t-s)A}A^{\frac{\theta}{2}}\phi(s)\mathrm{d}s||^2 \\ &\le \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1-\theta}{2}}||A^{\frac{\theta}{2}}\phi(s)||\mathrm{d}s\right)^2 \le \int_0^t (t-s)^{-(1-\theta)}\mathrm{d}s\cdot\int_0^t ||A^{\frac{\theta}{2}}\phi(s)||^2\mathrm{d}s \\ &\le \frac{t^\theta}{\theta}\int_0^T ||A^{\frac{\theta}{2}}\phi(s)||^2\mathrm{d}s \le \frac{C_1t^\theta}{\theta T}||A^{\frac{1+\theta}{2}}e||^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

we have

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]}||\Gamma(t)||_V \leq \sqrt{\frac{C_1T^{\theta-1}}{\theta}}||A^{\frac{1+\theta}{2}}e|| = b, \ \sup_{t\in[0,T]}\beta(\Gamma(t)) \leq \sup_{||v||\leq b}\beta(v). \tag{3.32}$$

Let

$$\tau_n = \inf\{t\in[0,T] \mid \int_0^t ||x(s)||_V^2\mathrm{d}s + ||x(t)||^2 \geq n\} \tag{3.33}$$

then, by (3.32),

$$\begin{aligned} ||Q^{-1}(B(x(t)) - B(x(t)-\Gamma(t)))||^2 &\leq \beta(\Gamma(t))(1+||x(t)||_V + ||x(t)-\Gamma(t)||_V)^2 \\ &\leq 3(\sup_{||v||\leq b}\beta(v))(1+3||x(t)||_V^2 + 2||\Gamma(t)||_V^2) \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

and Girsanov theorem, for $s\leq T$, $\{\tilde{W}(t)\}_{t\leq s\wedge\tau_n}$ is Brownian Motion under the probability $R_{s\wedge\tau_n}\mathbb{P}$. Rewrite the equation of x, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}x(t) &= -Ax(t)\mathrm{d}t + B(x(t))\mathrm{d}t + Q\mathrm{d}W(t) \\ &= -Ax(t)\mathrm{d}t + B(x(t))\mathrm{d}t + Q\mathrm{d}\tilde{W}(t) - \phi(t)\mathrm{d}t - (B(x(t)) - B(x(t)-\Gamma(t)))\mathrm{d}t \\ &= -Ax(t)\mathrm{d}t + B(x(t)-\Gamma(t))\mathrm{d}t + Q\mathrm{d}\tilde{W}(t) - \phi(t)\mathrm{d}t, \ t\leq s\wedge\tau_n, \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

by It'ô formula by (A2), and as what we do to equation (3.35), we rewrite it in the form of $\tilde{W}$, then get that, for any $t\leq s\wedge\tau_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{d}||x(t)||^2 + 2||x(t)||_V^2\mathrm{d}t - ||Q||_{HS}\mathrm{d}t + 2\langle\phi(t), x(t)\rangle\mathrm{d}t \\ =& 2\langle B(x(t)-\Gamma(t)), x(t)\rangle\mathrm{d}t + 2\langle Q\mathrm{d}\tilde{W}(t), x(t)\rangle \\ =& 2\langle B(x(t)-\Gamma(t)), x(t)-\Gamma(t)\rangle\mathrm{d}t + 2\langle B(x(t)-\Gamma(t)), \Gamma(t)\rangle\mathrm{d}t + 2\langle Q\mathrm{d}\tilde{W}(t), x(t)\rangle \\ \leq& \left(2||B(0)||\cdot||x(t)-\Gamma(t)|| + 2K_1||x(t)-\Gamma(t)||_V^{\gamma}||x(t)-\Gamma(t)||^{2-\gamma}\right)\mathrm{d}t \\ &+ 2K_2||\Gamma(t)||_{V_\alpha}||x(t)-\Gamma(t)||_V^{\gamma}||x(t)-\Gamma(t)||^{2-\gamma}\mathrm{d}t + 2\langle Q\mathrm{d}\tilde{W}(t), x(t)\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

then, by B-D-G inequality and Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}R_{s\wedge\tau_n}\sup_{r\in[0,t\wedge\tau_n]}||x(r)||^2 + \mathbb{E}R_{s\wedge\tau_n}\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_n}||x(r)||_V^2\mathrm{d}r \\ \leq&(C + ||B(0)||^2 + ||x||)t + 2\mathbb{E}R_{s\wedge\tau_n}\sup_{r\in[0,t\wedge\tau_n]}\left|\int_0^r\langle Q\mathrm{d}\tilde{W}(u), x(u)\rangle\right| \\ &+ \int_0^t||\phi(r)||^2\mathrm{d}r + C(\gamma, K_1, K_2)\int_0^T||\Gamma(t)||_V^2(1+||\Gamma(t)||_{V_\alpha}^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}})\mathrm{d}t \\ &+ C(\gamma, K_1, K_2)\mathbb{E}R_{s\wedge\tau_n}\left(\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_n}||x(r)||^2\mathrm{d}r + \int_0^{t\wedge\tau_n}||x(r)||^2||\Gamma(r)||_{V_\alpha}^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}}\mathrm{d}r\right), \\ \leq&(C + ||Q||_{HS}^2 + ||B(0)||^2 + ||x||)t + \int_0^t||\phi(r)||^2\mathrm{d}r \\ &+ C(\gamma, K_1, K_2)\int_0^T||\Gamma(t)||_V^2(1+||\Gamma(t)||_{V_\alpha}^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}})\mathrm{d}t \\ &+ C(\gamma, K_1, K_2)\mathbb{E}R_{s\wedge\tau_n}\left(\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_n}||x(r)||^2\mathrm{d}r + \int_0^{t\wedge\tau_n}||x(r)||^2||\Gamma(r)||_{V_\alpha}^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}}\mathrm{d}r\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

here C is an absolute constant come from Hölder inequality and B-D-G inequality, and $C(\gamma, K_1, K_2)$ is constant related to $\gamma,\ K_1,\ K_2$ and may change from line to line. In order to use the Gronwall's lemma, we need more calculate. Note that for the last term, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&C(\gamma,K_1,K_2)\mathbb{E}R_{s\wedge\tau_n}\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_n}||x(r)||^2||\Gamma(r)||_{V_\alpha}^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}}\mathrm{d}r\\
\le& C(\gamma,K_1,K_2)\left(\int_0^t||\Gamma(r)||_{V_\alpha}^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}}\mathrm{d}r\right)^{\frac12}\mathbb{E}R_{s\wedge\tau_n}\left(\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_n}||x(r)||^4\mathrm{d}r\right)^{\frac12}\\
\le& C(\gamma,K_1,K_2)\left(\int_0^t||\Gamma(r)||_{V_\alpha}^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}}\mathrm{d}r\right)^{\frac12}\mathbb{E}R_{s\wedge\tau_n}\left(\sup_{r\in[0,t\wedge\tau_n]}||x(r)||\right)\left(\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_n}||x(r)||^2\mathrm{d}r\right)^{\frac12}\\
\le&\frac12\mathbb{E}R_{s\wedge\tau_n}\sup_{r\in[0,t\wedge\tau_n]}||x(r)||^2+\frac{C(\gamma,K_1,K_2)^2}{2}\left(\int_0^t||\Gamma(r)||_{V_\alpha}^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}}\mathrm{d}r\right)\mathbb{E}R_{s\wedge\tau_n}\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_n}||x(r)||^2\mathrm{d}r
\end{aligned}\tag{3.38}$$

In order to get the small time behavior and clear relation with e, we shall calculate the integration term relate to Γ, by Young inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t||\Gamma(r)||_{V_\alpha}^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}}\mathrm{d}r&\le\int_0^t\left(\int_0^r(r-s)^{-\frac{\alpha-\theta}{2}}||A^{\frac{\theta}{2}}\phi(s)||\mathrm{d}s\right)^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}}\mathrm{d}r\\
&\le\left(\int_0^t||A^{\frac{\theta}{2}}\phi(r)||^2\mathrm{d}r\right)^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}}\left(\int_0^t s^{-\frac{2(\alpha-\theta)}{4-\gamma}}\mathrm{d}s\right)^{\frac{4-\gamma}{2-\gamma}}\\
&\le\left(\frac{4-\gamma-2\alpha+2\theta}{4-\gamma}\right)^{\frac{4-\gamma}{2-\gamma}}t^{\frac{4-\gamma-2\alpha+2\theta}{2-\gamma}}\left(\int_0^t||A^{\frac{\theta}{2}}\phi(r)||^2\mathrm{d}r\right)^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}}\\
&\le\left(\frac{4-\gamma-2\alpha+2\theta}{4-\gamma}\right)^{\frac{4-\gamma}{2-\gamma}}T^{1-\frac{2(\alpha-\theta)}{2-\gamma}}||A^{\frac{1+\theta}{2}}e||^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}}\\
&\equiv C_1(\gamma,\theta)T^{1-\frac{2(\alpha-\theta)}{2-\gamma}}||A^{\frac{1+\theta}{2}}e||^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}},
\end{aligned}\tag{3.39}$$

in particular, for $\alpha=1$ and $\gamma=0$, we have

$$\int_0^T||\Gamma(r)||_V^2\mathrm{d}r\le\left(\frac{1+\theta}{2}\right)^2T^\theta||A^{\frac{1+\theta}{2}}e||^2,\tag{3.40}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T||\Gamma(r)||_V^2||\Gamma(r)||_{V_\alpha}^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma}}\mathrm{d}r&\le\left(\int_0^T||\Gamma(r)||_V^4\mathrm{d}r\right)^{\frac12}\left(\int_0^T||\Gamma(r)||_{V_\alpha}^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}}\mathrm{d}r\right)^{\frac12}\\
&\le\left(\frac{1+2\theta}{3}\right)^{\frac32}\left(1-\frac{2(\alpha-\theta)}{4-\gamma}\right)^{\frac{4-\gamma}{2(2-\gamma)}}T^{\theta-\frac{(\alpha-\theta)}{(2-\gamma)}}||A^{\frac{1+\theta}{2}}e||^{\frac{2(3-\gamma)}{2-\gamma}}\\
&\equiv C_2(\gamma,\theta)T^{\frac{\theta(3-\gamma)-\alpha}{(2-\gamma)}}||A^{\frac{1+\theta}{2}}e||^{\frac{2(3-\gamma)}{2-\gamma}},
\end{aligned}\tag{3.41}$$

at last

$$\int_0^T||\phi(r)||^2\mathrm{d}r\le\frac{C_1}{\lambda_0^\theta T}||A^{\frac{1+\theta}{2}}e||^2.\tag{3.42}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}R_{s\wedge\tau_n}\sup_{r\in[0,t\wedge\tau_n]}||x(r)||^2+\mathbb{E}R_{s\wedge\tau_n}\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_n}||x(r)||_V^2\mathrm{d}r\\
&\le C(\gamma,K_1,K_2,B(0),||x||,\theta)\left(T+(\frac{1}{\lambda_0^\theta T}+T^{1+\theta})||A^{\frac{1+\theta}{2}}e||^2+T^{\frac{\theta(3-\gamma)-\alpha}{(2-\gamma)}}||A^{\frac{1+\theta}{2}}e||^{\frac{2(3-\gamma)}{2-\gamma}}\right)\\
&\quad+C(\gamma,K_1,K_2,\theta)\left(1+T^{1-\frac{2(\alpha-\theta)}{2-\gamma}}||A^{\frac{1+\theta}{2}}e||^{\frac{4}{2-\gamma}}\right)\mathbb{E}R_{s\wedge\tau_n}\left(\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_n}||x(r)||^2\mathrm{d}r\right)
\end{aligned}\tag{3.43}$$

we can use the Gronwall's inequality and get that

$$\mathbb{E}R_{s\wedge\tau_n}\sup_{r\in[0,t\wedge\tau_n]}||x(r)||^2+\mathbb{E}R_{s\wedge\tau_n}\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_n}||x(r)||_V^2\mathrm{d}r\le\Psi_1(T,e).\tag{3.44}$$

By these estimate and (A4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}R_{s\wedge\tau_n}\log R_{s\wedge\tau_n}&=\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}R_{s\wedge\tau_n}\int_0^{s\wedge\tau_n}||Q^{-1}(\phi(t)+B(x(t))-B(x(t)-\Gamma(t)))||^2\mathrm{d}t\\
&\le\int_0^T||\phi(t)||_{V_\theta}^2\mathrm{d}t+3(\sup_{||v||\le b}\beta(v))\mathbb{E}R_{s\wedge\tau_n}\int_0^{s\wedge\tau_n}\left(1+4||x(t)||_V^2+||\Gamma(t)||_V^2\right)\mathrm{d}t\\
&\le\Psi_2(T,e),
\end{aligned}\tag{3.45}$$

therefore, as in [11], we can prove that $\{\tilde{W}(t)\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ is B.M. and

$$\mathbb{E}R_T\log R_T\le\Psi_2(T,e).\tag{3.46}$$

By this estimate and Young's inequality, we have the shift log-Harnack inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
P_T\log f(x)&=\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}\log f(y_T)=\mathbb{E}R_T\log f(x_T+e)\\
&\le\mathbb{E}R_T\log R_T+\log\mathbb{E}f(x_T+e)\\
&=\mathbb{E}R_T\log R_T+\log P_Tf(e+\cdot)(x)\\
&\le\log P_Tf(e+\cdot)(x)+\Psi_2(T,e).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.47}$$

Replacing e by ϵe, ϕ by $\epsilon\phi$ and Γ by $\epsilon\Gamma$, just as in the case $\epsilon=1$ above, and by Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\epsilon}|_{\epsilon=0}R_T^\epsilon=\int_0^T\langle Q^{-1}(\phi(t)+\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}B(x(t)),\mathrm{d}W(t)\rangle,\tag{3.48}$$

holds in $L^1(\mathbb{P})$, then

$$P_T\nabla_ef(x)=\mathbb{E}f(x(T))\int_0^T\langle Q^{-1}(\phi(t)+\nabla_{\Gamma(t)}B(x(t))),\mathrm{d}W(t)\rangle,\ f\in C_b^1(H).\tag{3.49}$$

□

We adapted the argument in [5] to prove that

Lemma 3.3. *Under conditions (A1) to (A3) and (A5), then* $\{\frac{|R^\epsilon - 1|}{\epsilon}\}_{\epsilon\in(0,1)}$ *is uniformly integrable w.r.t* $\mathbb{P}$*, consequently*

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} R^\epsilon_T = \int_0^T \langle Q^{-1}(\phi(t) + \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} B(x(t)), \mathrm{d}W(t)\rangle, \tag{3.50}$$

holds in $L^1(\mathbb{P})$.

Proof. Denote

$$\Theta^\epsilon_1(s) = Q^{-1}(\epsilon\phi(s) + B(x(s)) - B(x(s) - \epsilon\Gamma(s))) \tag{3.51}$$

$$\Theta^\epsilon_2(s) = Q^{-1}(\phi(s) + \nabla_{\Gamma(s)} B(x(s) - \epsilon\Gamma(s)). \tag{3.52}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \sup_{\epsilon\in[0,1)} ||\nabla_{\Gamma(r)} B(x(r) - \epsilon\Gamma(r))||^2_Q \mathrm{d}r \\
\le&\mathbb{E}\int_0^T ||\Gamma(s)||^2_V \left(||x(s)||^2_V + ||\Gamma(s)||^2_V\right) \mathrm{d}s \\
\le& \sup_{s\in[0,T]} ||\Gamma(s)||^2_V \mathbb{E}\int_0^T ||x(s)||^2_V \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^T ||\Gamma(s)||^4_V \mathrm{d}s < \infty,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.53}$$

we have, for any $\epsilon \in [0,1)$,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\epsilon} R^\epsilon_T = - R^\epsilon_T \int_0^T \langle \Theta^\epsilon_2(s), \mathrm{d}W(s)\rangle - R^\epsilon_T \int_0^T \langle \Theta^\epsilon_1(s), \Theta^\epsilon_2(s)\rangle \mathrm{d}s, \ \textit{a.s.}, \tag{3.54}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{|R^\epsilon_T - 1|}{\epsilon} =& \left|\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^\epsilon R^r_T \left(\int_0^T \langle \Theta^r_2(s), \mathrm{d}W(s)\rangle + \langle \Theta^r_1(s), \Theta^r_2(s)\rangle \mathrm{d}s\right) \mathrm{d}r\right| \\
\le& \left|\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^\epsilon R^r_T \int_0^T \langle \Theta^r_2(s), \mathrm{d}W(s)\rangle \mathrm{d}r\right| + \left|\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^\epsilon R^r_T \int_0^T \langle \Theta^r_1(s), \Theta^r_2(s)\rangle \mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}r\right|.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.55}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^\epsilon R^r_T \int_0^T \langle \Theta^r_1(s), \Theta^r_2(s)\rangle \mathrm{d}s\right| \\
\le&\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^\epsilon R^r_T \int_0^T r\left[||\phi(s)||_Q + ||\Gamma(s)||_V(||\Gamma(s)||_V + ||x(s)||_V)\right]^2 \mathrm{d}r \\
\le& \int_0^1 R^r_T \int_0^T \left[||\phi(s)||_Q + ||\Gamma(s)||_V(||\Gamma(s)||_V + ||x(s)||_V)\right]^2 \mathrm{d}r
\end{aligned} \tag{3.56}$$

and just as in the case of $\epsilon = 1$, we can prove that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\int_0^1 R^r_T \int_0^T \left[||\phi(s)||_Q + ||\Gamma(s)||_V(||\Gamma(s)||_V + ||x(s)||_V)\right]^2 \mathrm{d}r \\
\le& \int_0^T ||\phi(s)||^2_Q \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^T ||\Gamma(s)||^4_V \mathrm{d}s + \sup_{s\in[0,T]} ||\Gamma(s)||^2_V \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}R^r_T \int_0^T ||x(s)||^2_V \mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}r \\
\le& \int_0^T ||\phi(s)||^2_Q \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^T ||\Gamma(s)||^4_V \mathrm{d}s + \sup_{s\in[0,T]} ||\Gamma(s)||^2_V \int_0^1 \Psi_1(T,e)\mathrm{d}r < \infty,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.57}$$

now what remains is to prove that the family

$$\left\{\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^\epsilon R_T^r \int_0^T \langle \Theta_2^r(s), \mathrm{d}W(s)\rangle \mathrm{d}r\right\}_{\epsilon\in(0,1)} \tag{3.58}$$

is uniformly integrable. Instead of this, we prove that for

$$\{\xi_{n,\epsilon}\} := \left\{\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^\epsilon R_{T\wedge\tau_n}^r \left|\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_n} \langle \Theta_2^r(s), \mathrm{d}W(s)\rangle\right| \mathrm{d}r\right\}_{\epsilon\in(0,1), n\geq 1} \tag{3.59}$$

Just as in [5], we can prove

$$\mathbb{E}\xi_{n,\epsilon}\log^{\frac{1}{2}}(e+\xi_{n,\epsilon}) \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^\epsilon \mathbb{E}\left\{c + R_{T\wedge\tau_n}^r \log R_{T\wedge\tau_n}^r + R_{T\wedge\tau_n}^r\left|\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_n}\langle\Theta_2^r(s), \mathrm{d}W(s)\rangle\right|^2\right\}\mathrm{d}r, \tag{3.60}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}R_{T\wedge\tau_n}^r\left|\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_n}\langle\Theta_2^r(s), \mathrm{d}W(s)\rangle\right|^2 \leq 2\mathbb{E}R_{T\wedge\tau_n}^r\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_n}||\Theta_2^r(t)||_Q^2\mathrm{d}t \leq 2\Psi_2(T,e), \tag{3.61}$$

by Fatou's lemma, $\mathbb{E}\xi_\epsilon\log^{\frac{1}{2}}(e+\xi_\epsilon) < \infty$, i.e. $\{\xi_\epsilon\}$ is uniformly integrable w.r.t $\mathbb{P}$ and therefore $\{\frac{|R^\epsilon-1|}{\epsilon}\}_{\epsilon\in(0,1)}$ is uniformly integrable w.r.t $\mathbb{P}$. Since

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0^+}\frac{R_T^\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} \overset{\mathbb{P}}{=} \int_0^T \langle Q^{-1}(\phi(t) + \nabla_{\Gamma(t)}B(x(t)), \mathrm{d}W(t)\rangle, \tag{3.62}$$

then we prove the lemma.

□

Corollary 3.4. *Assume that (A1) to (A3) and (A6) hold, further more (A5) or (A4) hold in the following form*

$$||B(u) - B(v)||_Q \leq K_5||u-v||(1 + ||u||_V + ||v||_V), \tag{3.63}$$

let

$$\delta_e = \frac{\lambda_0\theta}{144K_4C_1T^{\theta-1}||A^{\frac{1+\theta}{2}}e||^2||Q||^2}, \tag{3.64}$$

then for $r \in (0, \delta_e)$ *and* $p > \frac{\sqrt{(\delta_e+r^2)^2}+\delta_e+r^2}{\delta_e - r^2}$,

(3.65)

$$\begin{aligned}(P_Tf(x))^p \leq & P_Tf^p(re+\cdot)(x)\exp\left\{\frac{\lambda_0(p-1)}{8||Q||^2}\left[||x||^2 + (2K_5 + ||Q||_{HS}^2 + \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{2}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{2-\delta}}K_5^{\frac{2}{2-\delta}}\delta^{\frac{2\delta}{2-\delta}})T\right]\right. \\ & \left. + \frac{p(p+1)r^2||A^{\frac{1+\theta}{2}}e||^2}{p-1}\left[\frac{3K_4\left(1+\theta\right)^2T^\theta + 4C_1K_3}{4T} + \frac{2K_4\left(1+2\theta\right)^3}{9T^{1-2\theta}}||A^{\frac{1+\theta}{2}}e||^2r^2\right]\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

If strengthen (A4) to be

$$||B(u) - B(v)||_Q \leq \beta(u-v), \tag{3.66}$$

then, for any $p > 1$, *the following shift Harnack inequality holds*

$$(P_Tf)^p \leq P_Tf^p(e+\cdot)\exp\left[\frac{p}{p-1}(\frac{C_1}{T}||A^{\frac{1+\theta}{2}}e||^2 + \int_0^T\beta(\Gamma(s))\mathrm{d}s)\right] \tag{3.67}$$

Proof. By Remark 3.1, we assume (3.63) holds. By It'ô's formula and Hölder inequality, we can prove that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\exp\left(\frac{\lambda_0}{8||Q||^2}\int_0^T ||x(t)||_V^2 \mathrm{d}t\right)\\ &\leq \exp\left[\frac{\lambda_0}{4||Q||^2}\left(||x||^2+\left(2K_5+||Q||_{HS}^2+\left(\frac{\lambda_0}{2}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{2-\delta}}K_5^{\frac{2}{2-\delta}}\delta^{\frac{2\delta}{2-\delta}}\right)T\right)\right],\end{aligned} \tag{3.68}$$

then $r\in(0,\delta_e)$, just replacing e by re in Theorem 3.2, for and $p>\frac{\sqrt{(\delta_e+r^2)^2}+\delta_e+r^2}{\delta_e-r^2}$, we can prove that

$$\begin{aligned}&(\mathbb{E}R_T^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{p-1}\exp\left\{-\frac{p(p+1)}{p-1}\left(K_3\int_0^T ||\phi(t)||_{V_\theta}\mathrm{d}t+6K_4\int_0^T ||\Gamma(t)||_V^4\mathrm{d}t+3K_4\int_0^T ||\Gamma(t)||_V^2\mathrm{d}t\right)\right\}\\ &\leq\left(\mathbb{E}\exp\left[\frac{18K_4p(p+1)}{(p-1)^2}\int_0^T ||\Gamma(t)||_V^2||x(t)||_V^2\mathrm{d}t\right]\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\\ &\leq\left(\mathbb{E}\exp\left[\frac{18K_4p(p+1)C_1T^{\theta-1}}{\theta(p-1)^2}||A^{\frac{1+\theta}{2}}re||^2\int_0^T ||x(t)||_V^2\mathrm{d}t\right]\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}},\end{aligned} \tag{3.69}$$

by the definition of δ_e, we have

$$\frac{18K_4p(p+1)C_1T^{\theta-1}}{\theta(p-1)^2}||A^{\frac{1+\theta}{2}}re||^2\leq\frac{r^2}{\delta_e}\cdot\frac{\lambda_0}{8||Q||^2}\leq\frac{\lambda_0}{8||Q||^2}, \tag{3.70}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}&(\mathbb{E}R_T^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{p-1}\exp\left\{-\frac{p(p+1)}{p-1}\left(K_3\int_0^T ||\phi(t)||_{V_\theta}^2\mathrm{d}t+6K_4\int_0^T ||\Gamma(t)||_V^4\mathrm{d}t+3K_4\int_0^T ||\Gamma(t)||_V^2\mathrm{d}t\right)\right\}\\ &\leq\exp\left\{\frac{\lambda_0(p-1)}{8||Q||^2}\left[||x||^2+(2K_5+||Q||_{HS}^2+\left(\frac{\lambda_0}{2}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{2-\delta}}K_5^{\frac{2}{2-\delta}}\delta^{\frac{2\delta}{2-\delta}})T\right]\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.71}$$

Combine this with (3.39), (3.24) and (3.40), we prove the first inequality. The second inequality is similar to corollary 2.3.

□

Corollary 3.5. *For hyperdisspative stochastic Navier-Stokes/Burgers equation in [13], the integration by part formula holds.*

Proof. We just have to verify the conditions (A1) to (A6). (A3) is the (A0) there, and by the bilinear, it's Fréchet differentiable form V to $Q(H)$, and by (A3) in [13],

$$||\nabla_u B(v)||_Q=||B(u,v)+B(v,u)||_Q\leq C||u||_{V_\theta}||v||_{V_\theta}\leq C||u||_V||v||_V, \tag{3.72}$$

then (A5) holds. By (A3) in [13],

$$\begin{aligned}||B(u)-B(v)||_Q=&||B(u-v+v)-B(v)||_Q\\ =&||B(u-v)+B(u-v,v)+B(v,u-v)||_Q\\ \leq&||B(u-v)||_Q+||B(u-v,v)||_Q+||B(v,u-v)||_Q\\ \leq&C\left(||u-v||_{V_\theta}^2+2||u-v||_{V_\theta}||v||_{V_\theta}\right)\\ \leq&C||u-v||_V\left(||u||_V+||v||_V\right),\end{aligned} \tag{3.73}$$

then (A4) holds with $\beta(\cdot) = C||\cdot||_V$ and $K_4 = 0$. By (A2) in [13], and

$$\begin{aligned}\langle B(u) - B(v), u - v\rangle &= \langle B(u - v + v) - B(v), u - v\rangle \\ &= \langle B(u - v, v) + B(v, u - v) + B(u - v), u - v\rangle \\ &= \langle B(v, u - v), u - v\rangle + \langle B(u - v, v), u - v\rangle \\ &\leq C(||v|| \cdot ||u - v||_V ||u - v|| + ||u - v|| \cdot ||v||_V ||u - v||) \\ &\leq C||v||_V ||u - v||_V ||u - v||.\end{aligned} \tag{3.74}$$

(3.4) and (3.3) holds for $\gamma = 1$, $\rho = ||\cdot||_V$, the hemicontinuous follow from bilinear. Therefore, we prove the corollary.

□

For applications of shift Harnack inequality and integration by part formula, one can see [11].

Acknowledgement

References

[1] A. Bensoussan, G. Da Prato, M. C. Delfour, S. K. Mitter. (2007). Representation And Control Of Infinite Dimensional Systems. 2nd ed. *Birkhäuser.*

[2] Boufoussi, B., Hajji, S. (2010). Successive approximation of neutral functional stochastic differential equations in Hilbert spaces. *Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal* **17** 183–197.

[3] G. Da Prato, J. Zabczyk (1992). Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions. *Cambridge University Press.*

[4] Driver, B. (1997). Integration by parts for heat kernel measures revisited. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **76** 703–737.

[5] Guillin, A., Wang, F.-Y. (2012). Degenerate Fokker-Planck Equations : Bismut Formula, Gradient Estimate and Harnack Inequality. *J. Differential Equations*, **253** 20–40.

[6] Ouyang, S.-X. 2009. Harnack Inequalities and Applications for Stochastic Equations, Ph.D thesis, Bielefeld University, 2009. Available on `http://bieson.ub.uni-bielefeld.de/volltexte/2009/1463/pdf/ouyang.pdf`.

[7] Ouyang, S.-X. 2009. Non-time-homogeneous Generalized Mehler Semigroups and Applications, arXiv:1009.5314.

[8] Ouyang, S.-X. and Röckner, M. and Wang, F.-Y. 2012. Harnack inequalities and applications for Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroups with jump, *Potential Anal.* **36**: 301–315.

[9] Röckner, M., Liu, W. (2010). SPDE in Hilbert space with locally monotone coefficients. *J. Funct. Anal.* **259** 2902–2922.

[10] Wang, F.-Y. (2011). Harnack inequality for SDE with multiplicative noise and extension to Neumann semigroup on nonconvex manifolds. *Ann. Probab.* **39** 1449–1467.

[11] Wang, F.-Y. (2012). Integration by part formula and shift Harnack inequality for stochastic equation. *arXiv:1203.4023*

[12] Wang, F.-Y., Wu, J.-L., Xu, L. (2011). Log-Harnack Inequality for Stochastic Burgers Equations and Applications. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **384** 151–159.

[13] Wang, F.-Y., Xu, L. Derivative Formula and Applications for Hyperdissipative Stochastic Navier-Stokes/Burgers Equations. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.*(to appear).

[14] Wang, F.-Y., Zhang, T.-S. (2010). Gradient estimates for stochastic evolution equations with non-Lipschitz coefficients. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **365** 1–11.